

Who will represent your organization in MOAC Working Groups?

We encourage organizations to be intentional when choosing individuals to participate in MOAC working groups. It is not necessary for an organization to have a representative in every working group. Short descriptions are included below to help you determine who might be a “good fit” to represent your organization in MOAC working groups.

Who is committed to data stewardship?

Individuals working at the front lines on quality measures, data conformance, data maintenance, and message content to improve data quality and standards are a good fit for the **Data Stewardship Working Group**.

Who is informed about privacy issues in health information exchange?

Individuals applying what they know about federal and state laws related to privacy and/or privacy tagging to health information sharing, such as legal professionals, policy analysts, solutions architects, and implementation specialists are a good fit for the **Privacy Working Group**.

Who is involved in technical and operations processes?

Individuals implementing processes needed to support statewide health information exchange and resolving technical challenges and production issues, such as IT analysts, solution architects, systems analysts, developers, and security professionals are a good fit for the **Technical and Operations Working Group**.

Who is interested in use case development and implementation?

Individuals integrating use cases into existing workflows and assessing use case business value, clinical applicability, and user impact, such as business leads and analysts, clinicians, practice managers, project managers, and others involved in implementation and performance of data-sharing use cases are a good fit for the **Use Case Working Group**.

Who is prepared to mediate issues among MOAC members?

Organizations may appoint one representative to participate in the **Issue Remediation Working Group**. When conflict arises among MOAC members, this working group makes recommendations to the advisory committee and ultimately the MiHIN Board of Directors. Therefore, it is important to choose a representative who understands the larger statewide health information ecosystem and the perspectives of their organization at multiple levels (technology, organizational, strategic), including both short-term and long-term implications of recommendations.